

Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors  
Applications

Vector/Matrix Functions of a Single Variable

MATH1134 - Eigenvalue/Eigenvector Supplementary Lecture

## Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Quick Review of Linearly Independent Eigenvectors

## Applications of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Powers of a Matrix Part 1

Diagonalisation of a Square Matrix

Powers of a Matrix Part 2

## Vector/Matrix Functions of a Single Variable

## Definitions and Conventions

**DEFINITIONS**: If  $A_{n \times n}$  is a square matrix then a *non-zero* vector  $\vec{x}$  is called an **eigenvector** of  $A$  if  $A\vec{x}$  is a scalar multiple of  $\vec{x}$ . In other words

$$A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$$

for some scalar  $\lambda$ . That scalar  $\lambda$  is called an **eigenvalue** of  $A$  and  $\vec{x}$  is said to be an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  (*always think of an eigenvalue-eigenvector PAIR*).

- ↪ So multiplying an eigenvector  $\vec{x}$  of  $A$  on the left by  $A$  simply stretches or compresses  $\vec{x}$  by a factor of  $|\lambda|$  and reverses the direction of  $\vec{x}$  if  $\lambda < 0$ .
- ↪ **NOTATION**: It is conventional to use  $\lambda$  to represent eigenvalues.

→ **EXAMPLE 1** (a) If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ -2 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$  has eigenvector  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , find the corresponding eigenvalue. (b) If  $\lambda = -2$  is another eigenvalue of  $A$ , find a corresponding eigenvector.

(a) We seek  $\lambda$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ -2 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} -3 + 12 \\ 6 - 12 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3\lambda \\ 2\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 9 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3\lambda \\ 2\lambda \end{pmatrix}. \text{ So } 9 = -3\lambda \text{ or } -6 = 2\lambda \text{ which both imply that}$$

$$\boxed{\lambda = -3} \text{ (CHECK!).}$$

(b) We seek a vector  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$  such that  $A\vec{x} = -2\vec{x}$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ -2 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = -2 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + 6x_2 \\ -2x_1 - 6x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x_1 \\ -2x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\text{So } x_1 + 6x_2 = -2x_1 \Rightarrow \boxed{3x_1 + 6x_2 = 0}.$$

$$\text{And } -2x_1 - 6x_2 = -2x_2 \Rightarrow \boxed{-2x_1 - 4x_2 = 0}.$$

Combining the last two boxed equations, we see

$$3x_1 + 6x_2 = -2x_1 - 4x_2 \Rightarrow 5x_1 + 10x_2 = 0 \text{ or } \boxed{x_1 = -2x_2}, \text{ and any eigenvector for}$$

$$\text{eigenvalue } -2 \text{ is of the form } \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x_2 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ E.g. } x_2 = 1 \text{ yields } \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(CHECK IT IS AN EIGENVECTOR WITH EIGENVALUE -2!).

↪ So from the last example, we see that an eigenvalue can have infinitely many eigenvectors. In fact, this is always the case. Each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of a matrix  $A$  has infinitely many corresponding eigenvectors  $\vec{x}$  (so  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ ). ANY scalar multiple of an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is another eigenvector of  $A$ .

PROOF: If  $\alpha$  is a non-zero scalar and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  with corresponding eigenvector  $\vec{x}$ , then  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ . Therefore, it follows that  $A\alpha\vec{x} = \alpha(A\vec{x}) = \alpha(\lambda\vec{x}) = \lambda\alpha\vec{x}$ . In summary,  $A(\alpha\vec{x}) = \lambda(\alpha\vec{x})$  so that the vector  $\alpha\vec{x}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

## How to Find Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of a Given Matrix, $A$

- ↪ Always find the eigenvalues first, then the eigenvectors corresponding to those eigenvalues.
- ↪ Recall the eigenvalue-eigenvector equation is  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ . Assume  $A$  is a known matrix and  $\lambda$  and  $\vec{x}$  are to be found.
- ↪ It is convenient to re-arrange the equation as follows:
- $$A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x} \iff A\vec{x} = \lambda I\vec{x} \iff A\vec{x} - \lambda I\vec{x} = \vec{0}$$
- $$\iff (A - \lambda I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}. \quad (1)$$
- ↪ Recall from earlier (Lecture 2) that if  $(A - \lambda I)$  is non-singular, then the system (1) has only *one* solution vector - clearly,  $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ . But recalling that  $\vec{0}$  cannot, by definition, be an *eigenvector*, we require that system (1) has *infinitely many solutions*. This occurs when  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$  (or equivalently, when  $A - \lambda I$  is a singular matrix).
- ↪ Since  $A$  and  $I$  are given, the only unknown in the equation  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$  is the eigenvalue(s),  $\lambda$ . **Hence we solve  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$  to find the eigenvalue(s),  $\lambda$ .**

- ↪ **DEFINITIONS:** The equation  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$  is called the **characteristic equation** of  $A$ , and the expression  $\det(A - \lambda I)$  is a degree  $n$  polynomial (if  $A$  is  $n \times n$ ) called the **characteristic polynomial** of  $A$ .
- ↪ So the eigenvalues of  $A$  are simply *the roots of its characteristic polynomial*, or equivalently, *the solutions of its characteristic equation*.
- ↪ NOTE then that if matrix  $A$  is  $n \times n$ , it will have at most  $n$  distinct roots (some of which might be complex numbers).
- ↪ Once the eigenvalues of  $A$  are found, simply substitute each into  $(A - \lambda I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  (or if you prefer, into the equivalent equation  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ ) and solve for eigenvector(s)  $\vec{x}$  - just as in EXAMPLE 1(b).

↪ **EXAMPLE 2:** Find all eigenvalues and, for each eigenvalue, a corresponding eigenvector for  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

↪ **ANSWER:** First the eigenvalues: Solve  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ . So

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 - \lambda & 5 \\ 6 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow (2 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda) - 5(6) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lambda^2 - 3\lambda - 28 = 0 \Rightarrow (\lambda - 7)(\lambda + 4) = 0.$$

So the two eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1 = 7$  and  $\lambda_2 = -4$ .

↪ To find eigenvector  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 7$ , we solve

$$(A - 7I)\vec{x} = \vec{0} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 2 - 7 & 5 \\ 6 & 1 - 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 5 \\ 6 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

or  $-5x_1 + 5x_2 = 0$ ,  $6x_1 - 6x_2 = 0$ . Both equations imply that  $x_1 = x_2$  so a typical eigenvector  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . So, for example, setting  $x_2 = 1$ ,  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 7$ . (**CHECK!**)

REMINDER:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  has eigenvalues 7, -4.

- An eigenvector  $\vec{x} = [x_1, x_2]^t$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_2 = -4$  satisfies  $(A + 4I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  or

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 + 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 1 + 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 \\ 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So we have two equations which are the same:

$6x_1 + 5x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = -\frac{5}{6}x_2$ . So a typical eigenvector is

$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{5}{6}x_2 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . So, for example, taking  $x_2 = 6$  we get the eigenvector

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

↪ **EXAMPLE 3:** Find all eigenvalues and, for each eigenvalue, a corresponding eigenvector for  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & -5 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

↪ **ANSWER:** Because  $A - \lambda I$  is a (lower) triangular matrix, the determinant is easy to compute: being just the product of the entries on the main diagonal (*it will NOT always be so easy; see EXAMPLE 4 next*). So  $0 = \det(A - \lambda I) =$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 - \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & -5 - \lambda & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow (2 - \lambda)(-5 - \lambda)(4 - \lambda) = 0.$$

So clearly the three eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_1 = 2,$$

$$\lambda_2 = -5, \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_3 = 4.$$

↪ Next, we find an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 2$ : We seek  $\vec{x} = [x_1, x_2, x_3]^T$  such that  $(A - 2I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , or equivalently

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & -7 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This system is already in (lower) triangular form, so there is no real need for Gaussian elimination. So, other than the first row which just tells us  $0 = 0$ , we have two

equations: **(1)**  $x_1 + 2x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = -2x_3$  and **(2)**  $-4x_1 - 7x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_2 = -\frac{4}{7}x_1$ .

But we use the previous equation,  $x_1 = -2x_3$ , to further simplify the last result to

$x_2 = \frac{8}{7}x_3$ . So a typical eigenvector is

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x_3 \\ \frac{8}{7}x_3 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ \frac{8}{7} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, for example, when  $x_3 = 7$ , an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 2$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -14 \\ 8 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{CHECK!}$$

↪ Next, we find an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_2 = -5$ :  
We seek  $\vec{x} = [x_1, x_2, x_3]^T$  such that  $(A + 5I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , or equivalently

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This system is already in (lower) triangular form, so there is no real need for Gaussian elimination. The first two equations state that  $x_1 = 0$  and the last equation  $x_1 + 9x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow x_3 = 0$ . So a typical eigenvector is

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, for example, when  $x_2 = 1$ , an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_2 = -5$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{CHECK!}$$

↪ Finally, we find an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_3 = 4$ :  
We seek  $\vec{x} = [x_1, x_2, x_3]^T$  such that  $(A - 4I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , or equivalently

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & -9 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This system is already in (lower) triangular form, so there is no real need for Gaussian elimination. The first and last equations state that  $x_1 = 0$  and the second equation  $-4x_1 - 9x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_2 = 0$ . So a typical eigenvector is

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, for example, when  $x_3 = 1$ , an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_3 = 4$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{CHECK!}$$

↪ **EXAMPLE 4:** Find all eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors

$$\text{for } A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

↪ **ANSWER:** Using cofactor expansion along the first row,  
 $0 = \det(A - \lambda I) =$

$$\begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2-\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -\lambda[(2-\lambda)(3-\lambda) - 1(0)] - 0[3-\lambda-1] - 2[1(0) - (2-\lambda)]$$
$$= -\lambda(\lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 6) - 0 - 2(\lambda - 2) = -\lambda^3 + 5\lambda^2 - 8\lambda + 4 = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\lambda^3 - 5\lambda^2 + 8\lambda - 4 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)^2 = 0.$$

So the *two* distinct eigenvalues of  $A$  are  $\lambda_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda_2 = 2$ .

↪ NOTE  $A$  is  $3 \times 3$  and we have *fewer* than 3 distinct eigenvalues (however, if we count an eigenvalue as often as its *multiplicity*, we still get 3). There is the *danger* then that we will have only *two* families of **linearly independent** eigenvectors [see 3 pages after this for discussion of linear independence] ... in fact, you will see next that we still get 3 distinct families of **linearly independent** eigenvectors in this case (*but that does not always happen!*).

↪ Next, we find an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 1$ : We seek  $\vec{x} = [x_1, x_2, x_3]^T$  such that  $(A - 1I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , or equivalently

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We use Gaussian elimination:  $R_3 \mapsto R_3 + R_1$  and  $R_2 \mapsto R_2 + R_1$  lead to the equivalent (upper-triangular) system

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, other than the last row which just tells us  $0 = 0$ , we have two equations: **(1)**

$$x_2 - x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{x_2 = x_3} \text{ and } \mathbf{(2)} \quad -x_1 - 2x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{x_1 = -2x_3}.$$

So a typical eigenvector is  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x_3 \\ x_3 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . So, for

example, when  $x_3 = 1$ , an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 1$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{(CHECK!).}$$

↪ Next, we seek eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_2 = 2$  (of multiplicity 2): We seek  $\vec{x} = [x_1, x_2, x_3]^T$  such that  $(A - 2I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , or equivalently

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We use Gaussian elimination:  $R_3 \mapsto R_3 + \frac{1}{2}R_1$  and  $R_2 \mapsto R_2 + \frac{1}{2}R_1$  lead to the equivalent (upper-triangular) system

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The last two rows tell us  $0 = 0$ , and the first row says:  $-2x_1 - 2x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = -x_3$

(and  $x_2$  is independent of  $x_1$  and  $x_3$ !). So a typical eigenvector is

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -x_3 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -x_3 \\ 0 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For example, when  $x_3 = 1$  and  $x_2 = 0$ , an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to eigenvalue

$\lambda_2 = 2$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  (CHECK!). And when  $x_3 = 0$  and  $x_1 = 1$  an eigenvector of  $A$

corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_2 = 2$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  (CHECK!).

## QUICK REVIEW OF LINEARLY INDEPENDENT VECTORS

↪ Recall a **linear combination** of the (known) vectors  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  is simply any (finite) sum  $k_1\vec{v}_1 + k_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + k_n\vec{v}_n$  where  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n$  are scalars.

↪ For known vectors  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ , the vector equation

$$k_1\vec{v}_1 + k_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + k_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0} \quad (2)$$

always has the solution  $k_1 = 0, k_2 = 0, \dots, k_n = 0$ . If this is the only solution, the vectors  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  are said to be **linearly independent**. If there are other solutions (where at least one  $k_i \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ ) then the vectors  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  are said to be **linearly dependent**.

↪ **EQUIVALENT DEFINITIONS:** (a)  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  are **linearly independent** if *none* of the vectors can be written as a linear combination of the others.

(b)  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  are **linearly dependent** if *at least one* of the vectors can be written as a linear combination of the others.

- ↪ A set containing only 2 vectors  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$  is linearly dependent if and only if one vector is a scalar multiple of the other. And if not, the set is linearly independent.
- ↪ For example, in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  the vectors  $\vec{v}_1 = (-4, 1, 0, 3)$ ,  $\vec{v}_2 = (1, -2, 3, -4)$ , and  $\vec{v}_3 = (-5, -4, 9, -6)$  are linearly dependent since  $2\vec{v}_1 + 3\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$  (CHECK!)
- ↪ In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\vec{v}_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\vec{v}_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ , and  $\vec{v}_3 = (0, 0, 1)$  are obviously(???) *linearly independent*. But if we add the vector  $\vec{v}_4 = (-3, 4, 7)$  to the set, it becomes *linearly dependent* since  $\vec{v}_4 = -3\vec{v}_1 + 4\vec{v}_2 + 7\vec{v}_3$ .
- ↪ One way to check if a set of vectors  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  is linearly independent (or linearly dependent) is to try to solve the vector equation

$$k_1\vec{v}_1 + k_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + k_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$$

and see if  $k_1 = 0, k_2 = 0, \dots, k_n = 0$  is the only solution or if there are other (*infinitely many*) solutions.

↪ **EXAMPLE 5:** Confirm that the three eigenvectors obtained in

EXAMPLE 4,  $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  are really linearly independent.

↪ **ANSWERS:** We seek scalars  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ , and  $k_3$  such that

$k_1\vec{v}_1 + k_2\vec{v}_2 + k_3\vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ , or equivalently

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2k_1 - k_2 \\ k_1 + k_3 \\ k_1 + k_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

↪ **NOTE** the coefficient matrix above in red is simply made up of  $\vec{v}_1$ ,  $\vec{v}_2$ , and  $\vec{v}_3$  as column vectors. This will **ALWAYS** be the case when solving an equation of the form Equation (2) for  $k_1, \dots, k_n$ .

Using Gaussian elimination on this system, we do  $R1 \leftrightarrow R3$  THEN  $R2 \mapsto R2 - R1$  and  $R3 \mapsto R3 + 2R1$  to get the equivalent system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{REMINDER } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

---

Next,  $R3 \mapsto R3 + R2$  leads to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

With the system now in upper-triangular form, we see that the only solution is  $k_1 = 0$ ,  $k_2 = 0$ , and  $k_3 = 0$ .

So, the three eigenvectors

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{from EXAMPLE 4}$$

ARE linearly independent as claimed earlier.

→ **EXAMPLE 6:** Are the vectors  $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 6 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and

$\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  linearly independent or linearly dependent?

→ **ANSWER:** As we saw in the previous example, this amounts to solving the system  $A\vec{k} = \vec{0}$ , where the columns of  $A$  are simply  $\vec{v}_1$ ,  $\vec{v}_2$ , and  $\vec{v}_3$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 3 \\ -2 & 6 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$R_3 \mapsto R_3 - 3R_1$  and  $R_2 \mapsto R_2 + 2R_1$  leads to the equivalent system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 3 \\ 0 & 16 & 8 \\ 0 & -16 & -8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad R_3 \mapsto R_3 + R_2 \quad \sim \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 3 \\ 0 & 16 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The second line says  $k_2 = -\frac{1}{2}k_3$  and the first line says

$$k_1 = -5k_2 - 3k_3 = -5\left(-\frac{1}{2}k_3\right) - 3k_3 \Rightarrow k_1 = -\frac{1}{2}k_3.$$

So a typical solution vector is

$$\vec{k} = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}k_3 \\ -\frac{1}{2}k_3 \\ k_3 \end{bmatrix} = k_3 \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

↪ So there are infinitely many solutions. This is all that's important - that  $k_1 = 0$ ,  $k_2 = 0$ , and  $k_3 = 0$  is NOT the only solution. Hence the given vectors

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 6 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ are linearly dependent.}$$

↪ **ALTERNATIVE TESTS FOR LINEAR INDEPENDENCE** Recall that the following statements are equivalent (*i.e.*, if one is true the others are true and if one is false the others are false): **[1]**  $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$ , **[2]**  $\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  always has a unique solution  $\vec{x}$  for given  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\vec{b}$ , and **[3]**  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible (or non-singular). Thus another way to test whether a set of  $n$  vectors  $S$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (or more generally in an  $n$ -dimensional vector space) is linearly independent is to form the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  whose columns (*or rows*) are the  $n$  vectors in  $S$ . If  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible or, equivalently,  $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$  (*probably the easiest to check*) or, equivalently,  $\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has only one solution vector for any  $\vec{b}$ , then the set of vectors  $S$  is *linearly independent*. Otherwise, it is linearly dependent.

## NOTE

In this **Applications** section, the only part that is important for **MATH1134** is the subsection on *diagonalisation of a matrix*.

## Powers of a Matrix Part 1

- ▶ If you know  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ , observe that multiplying both sides of the equation on the left by  $A$  (and using the laws of matrix algebra) leads to:

$$A(A\vec{x}) = A(\lambda\vec{x}) \Rightarrow A^2\vec{x} = \lambda(A\vec{x}) = \lambda(\lambda\vec{x}) = \lambda^2\vec{x}.$$

Similarly, multiplying both sides of  $A^2\vec{x} = \lambda^2\vec{x}$  on the left by  $A$  leads to  $A^3\vec{x} = \lambda^3\vec{x}$ . In general,

$$A^n\vec{x} = \lambda^n\vec{x}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots,$$

where  $A$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with corresponding eigenvector  $\vec{x}$ .

- ▶ Another way to think about this is as follows: *If  $A$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with corresponding eigenvector  $\vec{x}$ , then  $A^n$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda^n$  with the SAME corresponding eigenvector  $\vec{x}$ .*

↪ **EXAMPLE 7:** Recalling from EXAMPLE 4 that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

find  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}^5 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  without doing any matrix-vector multiplications.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}^5 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2^5 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \\ 32 \end{bmatrix}.$$

You can also try this the long way to confirm you have the correct answer!

## Diagonalisation of a Square Matrix

↪ **KEY DEFINITIONS:** A square matrix  $A$  is **diagonalisable** if there exists an *invertible* matrix  $P$  such that  $\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}$  is a diagonal matrix (call it  $D$ ). The matrix  $P$  is said to **diagonalise**  $A$ .

↪ **KEY RESULT:**  $A_{n \times n}$  is *diagonalisable* if and only if  $A$  has  **$n$  linearly independent eigenvectors**. (So not all matrices are diagonalisable - see examples later).

↪ **HOW TO DIAGONALISE A MATRIX  $A_{n \times n}$ :**

1. Find  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors for  $A$ ,  $\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \dots, \vec{p}_n$ .
2. Form the matrix  $P$  with  $\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \dots, \vec{p}_n$  as its columns.
3. The matrix  $P^{-1}AP$  will be diagonal, of the form

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \lambda_i \text{ is the eigenvalue of } A$$

corresponding to eigenvector  $\vec{p}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

↪ Of course, step 1 in the method might not be possible - in which case the matrix is not diagonalisable. It will be obvious while looking for eigenvalues and eigenvectors of an  $n \times n$  matrix when it does **NOT** have  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors.

↪ **EXAMPLE 8:** Recalling from EXAMPLE 2 that  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 7$  with corresponding eigenvector  $\vec{p}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and eigenvalue  $\lambda_2 = -4$  with corresponding eigenvector  $\vec{p}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$ , then a matrix  $P$  which diagonalises  $A$  is

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad \left( \text{or } P = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 1 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

$$P^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(P)} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{11} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \left( \text{or } P^{-1} = -\frac{1}{11} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -6 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

$$\text{So we expect } P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \left( \text{or } P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

CHECK:

$$\begin{aligned} P^{-1}AP &= \frac{1}{11} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \frac{1}{11} \begin{bmatrix} 42 & 35 \\ 4 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{11} \begin{bmatrix} 77 & 0 \\ 0 & -44 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ as expected.} \end{aligned}$$

I'll leave you to check the other case, in which we change the order of the columns of  $P$ :

$$P^{-1}AP = -\frac{1}{11} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -6 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 1 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **KEY RESULT** An  $n \times n$  matrix with  $n$  different eigenvalues is diagonalisable (since it is guaranteed to have  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors). Problems only arise if the matrix has one or more repeated eigenvalue(s). In that case, it might or might not be diagonalisable. (See the following 2 examples).

↪ **EXAMPLE 9:** From EXAMPLE 4 with  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$  we found eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 1$  with corresponding eigenvector  $\vec{p}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and eigenvalue  $\lambda_2 = 2$  of multiplicity 2 with two corresponding *linearly independent* eigenvectors  $\vec{p}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\vec{p}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

So with  $P = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  we expect  $P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Check  $P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} P^{-1}AP &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

↪ **EXAMPLE 10:** Is the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$  diagonalisable? If

so, diagonalise it.

↪ **ANSWER:** First we find the eigenvalues: we solve  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 3 - \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (3 - \lambda)^2(4 - \lambda) = 0$$

so the eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1 = 3$  (*of multiplicity 2*) and  $\lambda_2 = 4$ .

- ▶ We need only investigate the eigenvector(s) of  $\lambda_1 = 3$  to see if the matrix is diagonalisable (*WHY?*)

So we seek  $\vec{x} = [x_1, x_2, x_3]^T$  such that  $(A - 3I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , or equivalently

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{REMINDER: } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 
- ▶ This system is already in (upper) triangular form so we can solve directly:
  - The first row states that  $x_2 = 0$ .
  - The second row states that  $0 = 0$  and
  - The third row states that  $x_3 = 0$ .

So a typical eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 3$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence there is *only one* family of eigenvectors and we cannot find 2 **linearly independent** eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 3$  (*compare to EXAMPLE 9*). Therefore  $A$  is NOT DIAGONALISABLE (it will not have 3 linearly independent eigenvectors).

**Powers of a Matrix Part 2**

↪ First observe that if  $D$  is an  $n \times n$  *diagonal* matrix with its only non-zero entries being the diagonal entries  $d_{11}, d_{22}, \dots, d_{nn}$ , then for any positive integer  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $D^k$  is also a diagonal matrix with its only non-zero entries being the diagonal entries  $d_{11}^k, d_{22}^k, \dots, d_{nn}^k$  (in that order).

↪ **SKETCH OF PROOF:** I will show the result for  $D^2$  and it will then be obvious that the same argument works for  $D(D^2) = D^3$ , and  $D(D^3) = D^4$  and so on.

↪ Recall that  $(D^2)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n D_{ik} D_{kj}$ . Furthermore, recall  $D_{ik} = 0$  if  $i \neq k$ . Likewise

$D_{kj} = 0$  if  $k \neq j$ . Therefore, the only time that  $\sum_{k=1}^n D_{ik} D_{kj}$  could involve

non-zero terms is when  $i = k = j$ . So we can first conclude that if  $i \neq j$ ,  $(D^2)_{ij} = 0$  so that  $D^2$  is a **diagonal matrix**.

↪ Next, if  $i = j$  then, recalling  $D_{ik} D_{kj} = 0$  unless we also have  $k = i (= j)$ , then the expression above for  $(D^2)_{ii}$  can be simplified as follows:

$$(D^2)_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^n D_{ik} D_{ki} = D_{ii} D_{ii} = D_{ii}^2 \quad \text{or, equivalently } d_{ii}^2 \text{ as expected.}$$

↪ Next, if  $A$  has been diagonalised by  $P$ , so that  $D = P^{-1}AP$ , observe that  $A = PDP^{-1}$ . Furthermore

$$A^2 = (PDP^{-1})(PDP^{-1}) = PD(P^{-1}P)DP^{-1} = PDIDP^{-1} = PDDP^{-1} = PD^2P^{-1}.$$

↪ Similarly,

$$A^3 = A(A^2) = PDP^{-1}(PD^2P^{-1}) = PD(P^{-1}P)D^2P^{-1} = \dots = PD^3P^{-1}$$

and

$$A^4 = A(A^3) = PDP^{-1}(PD^3P^{-1}) = PD(P^{-1}P)D^3P^{-1} = \dots = PD^4P^{-1}$$

and so on ....

↪ **KEY RESULT** Generally, if  $P$  diagonalises  $A$ , so that  $D = P^{-1}AP$  is a diagonal matrix, then for any positive integer  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,

$$A^k = PD^kP^{-1}.$$

↪ **EXAMPLE 11:** Recall from EXAMPLE 9 that for matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ we have matrices } P = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ such that } P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Check}$$

that  $A = PDP^{-1}$  and then calculate  $A^5$ .

$$PDP^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ as expected.}$$

$$A = PDP^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Meanwhile,  $A^5 = PD^5P^{-1} =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^5 \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \\ & \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 32 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 32 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \\ & \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -32 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 32 \\ 1 & 32 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \\ & \begin{bmatrix} -30 & 0 & -62 \\ 31 & 32 & 31 \\ 31 & 0 & 63 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{(CHECK!)} \end{aligned}$$

**Matrix/Vector Functions of a Single Variable**

↪ Recall that we can have vector functions of single variable,  $t$  (for example). Such as

$$\vec{r}(t) = (t^3 - 4t)\vec{i} + \sin t\vec{j} + e^{2t}\vec{k} = \begin{bmatrix} t^3 - 4t \\ \sin t \\ e^{2t} \end{bmatrix}.$$

↪ We then differentiate or integrate such vector functions by differentiating or integrating each term individually:

$$\vec{r}'(t) = \dot{\vec{r}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 3t^2 - 4 \\ \cos t \\ 2e^{2t} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\int \vec{r}(t) dt = \begin{bmatrix} \int (t^3 - 4t) dt \\ \int \sin t dt \\ \int e^{2t} dt \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}t^4 - 2t^2 + C_1 \\ -\cos t + C_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{2t} + C_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}t^4 - 2t^2 \\ -\cos t \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{2t} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ , and  $C_3$  are constants.

↪ And the old familiar rules from differentiating/integrating of simple functions carry over to differentiating/integrating of vector functions. For example, both operations are linear with respect to *scalar multiplication* and *vector addition*. So

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\alpha \vec{v}(t)) = \alpha \frac{d}{dt} (\vec{v}(t)), \quad \frac{d}{dt} (\vec{a}(t) + \vec{b}(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} (\vec{a}(t)) + \frac{d}{dt} (\vec{b}(t));$$

and

$$\int (\alpha \vec{v}(t)) dt = \alpha \int (\vec{v}(t)) dt, \quad \int (\vec{a}(t) + \vec{b}(t)) dt = \int (\vec{a}(t)) dt + \int (\vec{b}(t)) dt;$$

for  $\alpha$  a scalar.

Other (somewhat) familiar rules are

- ▶  $\frac{d}{dt} (\vec{a}(t) \cdot \vec{b}(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} (\vec{a}(t)) \cdot \vec{b}(t) + \vec{a}(t) \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (\vec{b}(t)).$
- ▶  $\frac{d}{dt} (\vec{a}(t) \times \vec{b}(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} (\vec{a}(t)) \times \vec{b}(t) + \vec{a}(t) \times \frac{d}{dt} (\vec{b}(t)).$
- ▶  $\frac{d}{dt} (f(t)\vec{a}(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} (f(t))\vec{a}(t) + f(t) \frac{d}{dt} (\vec{a}(t)),$  where  $f(t)$  is a normal (scalar) function.

↪ Everything said previously about *vector functions* generalises in the natural way to more general *matrix functions* (except for the results involving the dot product and cross product, which are not defined for non-vector matrices). So to differentiate or integrate a matrix  $A(t)$ , just differentiate or integrate each entry.

▶ E.g.  $A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 4t^3 + 2t^2 & \cos 5t \\ 4 & 3e^{12t} \end{bmatrix}$ , then

$$\frac{d}{dt}(A(t)) = A'(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 12t^2 + 4t & -5 \sin 5t \\ 0 & 36e^{12t} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int A(t) dt = \begin{bmatrix} t^4 + \frac{2}{3}t^3 & \frac{1}{5} \sin 5t \\ 4t & \frac{1}{4}e^{12t} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & C_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ , and  $C_4$  are constants.

- ▶ We again, of course, have linearity of matrix differentiation and integration with respect to *scalar multiplication* and *matrix addition*, but also with respect to *matrix multiplication by a constant matrix*. If  $C$  is an appropriately-sized (constant) matrix,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(CA(t)) = C \frac{d}{dt}(A(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad \int (CA(t)) dt = C \int (A(t)) dt.$$

- ▶ And again with regard to differentiation and *matrix multiplication*, matrix functions mimic scalar functions:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(A(t)B(t)) = A'(t)B(t) + A(t)B'(t).$$

↪ NOTE the order of  $A(t)$  and  $B(t)$  in the formula above is important since matrix multiplication is NOT commutative.